STATE OF MICHIGAN

COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of HALEY KASENOW, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED September 9, 2004

 \mathbf{v}

and

ROBIN R. KASENOW,

Respondent-Appellant,

MICHAEL KASENOW,

Respondent.

No. 254177 Kent Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 02-262000

Before: Donofrio, P.J., and White and Talbot, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the order of the trial court terminating her parental rights to her minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in determining that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 3.977(J); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). The child was placed in foster care after respondent-appellant neglected the child because of her drug addiction, depression, homelessness, and lack of stable finances. During much of the time that the child was in foster care, respondent-appellant made little effort to correct these conditions. Although respondent-appellant made some efforts to address her drug addiction shortly before the termination of her parental rights, she did not demonstrate that she could provide a stable, drug-free home for the child long-term or that she would be able to do so within a reasonable time given the age of the child.

Nor did the trial court err in determining that termination was not contrary to the best interests of the child. Respondent-appellant failed to demonstrate that she would be able to

overcome the conditions that led to adjudication and parent the child within a reasonable time. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000).

Affirmed.

/s/ Pat M. Donofrio

/s/ Helene N. White

/s/ Michael J. Talbot